

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill # HB06	665		Title: Revi	ise wa	ter discharge laws			
		•						
Primary Sponsor: Stahl, Wayne			Status: As Is	ntrodu	iced			
			,					
☑ Significant Local Gov Impact		☐ Needs to be included in HB 2		☑ ′	Technical Concerns			
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☐			Dedicated Revenue Form Attached			
FISCAL SUMMARY								
		FY 2010	FY 2011		FY 2012	FY 2013		
	Γ	Difference	Difference		Difference	Difference		
Expenditures:	_							
General Fund		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		
Revenue:		ΨΟ	ΨΟ		ΨΟ	ΨΟ		
General Fund		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		
_								
Net Impact-General Fund Balance		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> There would be no fiscal impact to the state as a result of this bill. However, there are impacts to local governments.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)

- 1. Rule development and adoption to implement the requirements of the bill would be incorporated into other rule amendment adoptions in progress.
- 2. Existing fee schedules would be utilized for the permitting, renewal, compliance and technical processes.

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

1. Communities required to comply with the new limits may need to install new or modified treatment processes capable of meeting the requirements. There would be fiscal impact.

Technical Concerns:

1. Section 1(4)(a) requires that a city over 20,000 in population meet primary drinking water standards or nondegradation requirements, whichever is more stringent. Treating city wastewater discharges to meet certain standards and requirements without a mixing zone would be technically difficult and likely financially impossible for cities. Similarly, it may be technically impossible for cities to meet nondegradation requirement for certain pollutants, such as ammonia.

- 2. Under existing law, nondegradation requirements apply only to a source of pollution that came into existence after April 29, 1993, or increased its discharge after that date. HB 665 applies the nondegradation requirement to sources of pollution that existed before April 29, 1993, and have not increased their discharge.
- 3. Surface water quality standards, which protect aquatic life, are often more stringent than drinking water standards. If a stream is classified as impaired (i.e., surface water quality standards are not met in the stream), nondegradation requirements do not apply. If, in this situation, HB 665 would relieve the discharger from complying with the water quality standards for aquatic life, the bill would violate federal water quality requirements and would jeopardize Montana's delegation of primacy under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date